Brief summary of table 3: When reflecting on the bar chart that is a representative of the 43 participants evaluation forms, 14 of the participants recorded that they had a 'high' level of understanding after they attended the drop-in session. Furthermore, 11 of the participants recorded as having a 'medium' understanding and a further 8 as having a 'very high' understanding. Only 9 of the participants (5/4) combined to record 'low' and 'don't know' responses. When comparing table 3 to table 2, statistics suggest that the drop-in session had a positive impact on educating the community.
Q4. What topics did you come here to find out about? Additional responses received.

- Clearance of dumped rubbish (e.g. Drink cans/bottles/domestic rubbish) how is it done? Who is responsible for the collecting it?
- Over developments
- Trial areas for native vegetation
- ‘Wellness’ of community with coastal town
- Encroachment/ dominant species/ lack of biodiversity
- General knowledge + support the foreshore community
- What is being done to save the coastal village + __? __ from over development
- To stop removal of coastal vegetation
- Everything

Q5. Did the information you received about your topic(s) help with your understanding of the topic?*
*Note extra answers to Q5* - Some participants listed their own options/answers and gave it a rating on the scale for question 5. These options and the associated rating can be seen below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants nominated option</th>
<th>Associated rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• How to get involved</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Walks+Trails+CFA</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fishing</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marine</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dead Trees</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tree Planting</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Operation</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dominant/encroachment of species</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of low ground canopy</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Low ground/best for small birdlife to return</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Global warming concerns</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Benefit of diversity of species</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bluespace is evidential</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Wellness</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Feedback Summary

### THEME

#### VEGETATION

**POSITIVE COMMENTS**
- The foreshore committee is doing a great job. People wish to clear for views also use give us an argument to clear maybe a couple more viewing points from beach to the point.
- Foreshore committee is doing a great job caring for the native vegetation and re-establishing areas where possible, trying to prevent inappropriate development, trying to prevent anything from destroying natural vegetation.
- Would like to see more care go into the tree management.

**NEUTRAL COMMENTS**
- There is no consistency with what can be cleared outside some properties and not others – I got no answer to that query or fire management plans.
- I am concerned of the fire risk from Beach St to North West to the point.
- Some areas in the northern precinct is an eye saw. Other areas are cleaned. Why is there not a consistent approach?
- I’d like to see more vegetation planted along foreshore, I would not like any removed it’s so important for the fauna living along the foreshore. I have been walking along these tracks for many years, nothing or nowhere compares. More she oaks planted.
- Stop clearing the foreshore of vegetation. Plant more trees (she oaks). Flora and fauna is so important.
- My original information was this would be about vegetation management. The other topics are near a diversion. The VGP needs a scientific basis and also have 1: A purpose & 2: Aims and objectives and meet the purpose & 3: a measurement system based on objectives to check whether the plan is according.
- Reinforce the need to provide habitat & to educate all of those in Corinella who see a tree & decide to kill it
- Trial areas for original ‘native’ vegetation
- Consider best ways to add walk trails in to let old trails revegetate
- The committee needs to be made aware of how safe the town is for bushfire. Also how do we get onto the foreshore committee.

**NON-POSITIVE COMMENTS**
- None

### THEME

#### OTHER

**POSITIVE COMMENTS**
- Great to have such an event would be good to see more & to have feedback by email would be great on possible solutions to general concerns also follow up open house or some other forum
- Fantastic afternoon. Optimistic about conservation of the foreshore. Committed to helping.
- Alison Oates – would like to see her report + review of the community + recommendations for the committee – it’s fantastic that the committee collates information to enable the committee to enact on the community wider expectations.
### Feedback Summary

**NEUTRAL COMMENTS**

- I felt “stations” were based with being maned by committee members.
- Committee should have had one table + other significant organisations have others.
- Would be better format if committee had actually said what they are doing, explain the management plan etc in a meeting format with Q&A’s at various times in the meeting.
- I would like to see more community input. More scientific based evidence “up to date” before plans taken place. No favouritism. No favours or friends or other. Community must be informed at least once per year of all grant’s money collected & where it was spent. Also, they must collect community input once per year. One person must do as before & take control without discussing with the committee & approval. I would also like to see quotes and approvals by all committee members. Transparency is also essential.
- Need an open meeting where people can vote on issues
- Great displays + a lot of work but we needed access to the experts – too many queries.
- I prefer speakers to give talks/info on topics and the public to ask Q’s. Then speakers + others know what is important to the majority, where there are opposing views, etc. I couldn’t get to the ___?__ who was always busy with questions.
- Thank you to the foreshore committee for all their work to manage and protect the amenity of Corinella whilst it is currently under threat from unsustainable development and over-development. It is becoming urbanised – sad for a beautiful coastal village.
- I prefer speakers to give talks/info on topics and the public to ask Q’s. Then speakers + others know what is important to the majority, where there are opposing views, etc. I couldn’t get to the ___?__ who was always busy with questions.
- Whole attractions could be seriously compromised in a generation. I walk the coastal tracks regularly – about twice a week and enjoy all the area has to offer. Thanks, committee all the best to preserve and protect. Currently fauna is under serious threat with their habitat sacrificed to housing development. Please plant trees and more trees ___ ___ after the mangroves to allow bio-diversity to flourish
- Please leave the foreshore alone. Please do not allow 92 Norserian Rd to go ahead.
- Do it again in the summer holidays or high weekend
- The CFC does a Stirling job maintaining the CF but informing those with deeply entrenched views/perspectives that are either detrimental to or ___?__ sensible discussion based on science.

**NON-POSITIVE COMMENTS**

- 3 of the 4 DELWP representatives kept to the ‘corners’ of the room & although helpful at times when you approached them, had few answers & kept referring me back to the committee.
- This will be seen as “community consultation” but it won’t really.
- A bit noisy
- Very disappointing wanted an open forum with speaker/questions, another speaker/questions & so on. The way that this was presented was totally dissatisfactory. The DELWP people were not that helpful they just walked around the room & it was difficult to catch them. They needed a table where we could sit & talk & they needed to take notes.
- Not what I expected. Not public consultation!!
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- The lack of power didn’t help as such
- It seems there are several different management systems and the end result is the foreshore committee can do whatever they want without regard to the feelings of the locals. It’s who you know, not what you know unfortunately.
- I felt the issues of above were not well covered and also the undoubtedly of the ___?___ measures undertaken did not seem to be reflective of the aerial pictures of erosion/plants/insects/birds/safety __?___. The measures being undertaken seem to be only a small aspect of the ecosystem. Council rep did not understand or have any knowledge of easement and of ____?____ trees. + easement/storm water drain on foreshore danger. He did say he will forward the __?__.
- Didn’t feel it was a wonderful event

Q4. What topics did you come here to find out about? Additional responses***

- Clearance of dumped rubbish (e.g. Drink cans/bottles/domestic rubbish) how is it done? Who is responsible for the collecting it?
- Over developments
- Trial areas for native vegetation
- ‘Wellness’ of community with coastal town
- Encroachment/ dominant species/ lack of biodiversity
- General knowledge + support the foreshore community
- What is being done to save the coastal village + ___?___ from over development
- To stop rem of coastal veg
- Everything

How will this information be used?

The foreshore committee will use this data and feedback to help inform planning and prioritisation for the next 3 years.